Tips and Pitfalls of Converting to Simulation-Based Inference for Large Lectures Daisy Philtron and Patricia Buchanan, The Pennsylvania State University

Project

A team at Penn State is converting a largeenrollment introductory statistics course from a traditional curriculum to a simulationbased inference (SBI) curriculum using the Lock5 textbook. In Spring 2017 the new course was piloted with a class of 240 students. A control course using a traditional curriculum was taught at a similar timeslot with the same exam schedule.

STAT 200:

4-credit course with ≈ 2000 students per semester

Weekly format: 2 lectures (240-700)2 labs (≈ 80)

Teaching team:

3-5 instructors 8-12 graduate teaching assistants 20 undergraduate learning assistants Undergraduate guided study group leaders

Next Steps

Reference: Lock, R.H., Lock, P.F., Lock Morgan, K., Lock, E.F., & Lock, D.F. (2017). Statistics: Unlocking the power of data, 2nd *Edition*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Tips

- •Keep every member of the teaching team up to date on course content.
- Make space for students to interact with SBI software. We used Statkey extensively in active learning labs.
- •Ask questions about the software's output and interface to ensure students understand the 'what and why.'
- •To maintain interest, source data in real-time using Google Forms.
- •Use clickers and a tool such as Doceri for interactive lecture slides
- Reinforce learning with high-frequency, low-stakes exams.

Pitfalls

- Lack of engagement in large lectures
- Student sabotage of crowd-sourced data (don't use Google Sheets).
- Creation of meaningful automated assessments for lab activities
- Preparation of high-frequency exams

Full roll-out of SBI curriculum in Fall 2017. Development of lab materials by team of stat 200 instructors. Development of deep question banks by graduate teaching assistants.





We compare pre and post scores from the GOALS instrument tests between the SBI pilot course (SBI), the control course (Traditional*), and other traditionally taught sections offered at different timeslots. Pre-test scores are comparable across all sections, but post-test scores are significantly higher (p-value<.001) for the pilot course.



Scores on common cumulative exams were compared between the pilot course and the control course (top row), and between the pilot course and a traditional course taught by the same instructor one year ago. A t-test for the difference in means is not significant for the first comparison (p-value=0.11), but is significant for the second (p-value=0.013).